

hs-CRP for Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes: Problems in Daily Practice

Elif Yorulmaz

Mehmet Uzunlulu

Banu Alpaslan

Aytekin Oğuz

Goztepe Training and Research Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Serum levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) have been found to be a strong predictor for increased cardiovascular disease risk associated with type 2 diabetes independent of traditional risk factors. However, it is well known that hs-CRP level is influenced by various factors. In this study, we evaluated the non-metabolic factors affecting hs-CRP levels and their frequency, and we studied whether measuring hs-CRP has a clinical significance as a predictor for prognosis, in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Ninety-one consecutive patients (56 female, 35 male, mean (\pm SD) age: 53.7 ± 10.2 years) with type 2 diabetes mellitus admitted to the Diabetes Outpatient Clinic of Istanbul Goztepe Training and Research Hospital were enrolled in this study. Medical history, physical examination, and laboratory findings of these subjects were evaluated in terms of the factors influencing hs-CRP level.

16.4% of patients had at least one of the non-metabolic factors increasing hs-CRP levels. Frequencies for smoking, hormone replacement therapy, acute infections and chronic infections were 14.2%, 3.2%, 7.6% and 4.3%, respectively.

In the present study, at least one of the non-metabolic factors capable of increasing hs-CRP levels was found in one of every six patients with type 2 diabetes, suggesting a limited use of hs-CRP for predicting cardiovascular risk.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, hs-CRP, cardiovascular risk factors

Introduction

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases cause significant morbidity and mortality in patients with diabetes mellitus (1). Following the establishment of the significant role played by inflammatory mechanisms in the pathogenesis and complications of atherosclerosis, studies were conducted on inflammation indicator plasma molecules as potential predictors of cardiovascular risk (2-4). Large-scale trials performed in healthy men and women have suggested that high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) level is a strong and

independent risk predictor for future cardiovascular risk (5,6). Some experts advocate routine measurement of hs-CRP level as a part of cholesterol screening for overall evaluation of the cardiovascular risk (7,8). hs-CRP is increased by factors such as elevated blood pressure, elevated body mass index, cigarette smoking, metabolic syndrome/diabetes mellitus, low HDL/high triglycerides, estrogen/progesterone hormone use, and acute or chronic infections. However, hs-CRP is decreased by factors such as moderate alcohol consumption, excessive exercise, weight loss, and medications such as statin, fibrate, and niacin (9). Since these factors may be encountered frequently, accuracy of making conclusions based on the hs-CRP levels is controversial. In this study, frequency of the factors influencing hs-CRP levels and feasibility of routine hs-CRP evaluations in diabetic patients were evaluated.

Correspondence address:

Dr. Elif Yorulmaz
Ebu Suud cad. No:67. Yorulmaz Kirtasiye
34460 Sirkeci/Istanbul
Tel : 0 212 555 47 18
Fax : 0 212 526 49 17
E-mail : elifdahiliye@gmail.com

Materials and Methods

Ninety-one consecutive patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus admitted to the Diabetes Out-patient Clinic of Istanbul Göztepe Training and Research Hospital were enrolled in this study. Seventy (76.9%) of cases were receiving oral antidiabetics, and 9(9.8%), 8(8.7%) and 4(4.3%) cases were receiving oral antidiabetics plus insulin, insulin or only diet therapy, respectively. Local ethic committee and the patients were informed about this observational study protocol.

Factors influencing hs-CRP levels based on 2003 American Heart Association Guidelines were questioned (Smoking and alcohol drinking habits, physical activity and weight condition, concomitant medications such as hormone replacement therapy, use of statin, fibrate, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, aspirin and glitazone) (9). A detailed physical examination was performed and 12-lead electrocardiography recordings were obtained in all the patients.

Sitting blood pressure was measured from both arms with an appropriate mercury sphygmo-manometer after at least 10 minutes of rest, based on Korotkoff Phase I and Phase V sounds. Blood pressure measurement was repeated and recorded at least 3 minutes later from the arm with the higher value. An average of these two measurements was taken for systolic and diastolic blood pressure values. Anthropometric measurements (height, weight, waist circumference) were performed with standard devices by the same person when the patient was standing with regular clothes. The body mass index (BMI) was calculated using Quetlet's index ($\text{weight/height}^2 - \text{kg/m}^2$) (10). Those cases with a BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ were considered as obese, while those with a BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m^2 were considered as overweight. Waist circumference was measured at the midline between arcus costarum and crista iliaca anterior superior and at the narrowest torso circumference, during the patient was standing and slightly expiring.

Patients were evaluated in terms of metabolic syndrome according to the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III, or ATP III) criteria (blood pressure \geq

130/85 mm Hg (or current antihypertensive use); fasting plasma glucose $\geq 110 \text{ mg/dL}$ (or current antidiabetic use); fasting triglycerides $\geq 150 \text{ mg/dL}$; HDL-cholesterol $< 40 \text{ mg/dl}$ (men) or $< 50 \text{ mg/dl}$ (women); and waist circumference $> 102 \text{ cm}$ (men) or $> 88 \text{ cm}$ (women)) (11).

Venous blood samples were taken for blood chemistry parameters after 12-hours of fasting, and the sera were immediately separated by centrifuging at 2500 rpm. Glucose, HDL-cholesterol, and triglyceride levels were measured through enzymatic assay, using an Olympus AU 5200 autoanalyser.

Statistical analyses of the results were performed as frequency percent and absolute numbers of factors.

Results

Fifty-six of 91 enrolled patients were female (mean age: 51.4 ± 8.8 years), and 35/91 were male (mean age: 57.2 ± 11.3 years). Table 1 demonstrates distribution of factors influencing hs-CRP levels in the patients.

Table 1. Distribution of factors effecting hs-CRP levels among patients

	Female (n=56)	Male (n=35)
Factors increasing hs-CRP		
BMI (mean \pm SD)	29.34 \pm 5.157	28.3 \pm 3.88
Hypertension (n, %)	44 (78.5)	29 (82.8)
Metabolic syndrome (n, %)	49 (87.5)	25 (71.4)
Low HDL (n, %)	39 (69.6)	14 (40)
High triglycerides (n,%)	28 (50)	18 (51.4)
Factors decreasing hs-CRP		
Alcohol (n, %)	1 (1.7)	4 (11.4)
Excessive exercise (n, %)	1 (1.7)	8 (22.8)
Weight loss (n, %)	10 (17.8)	4 (11.4)
Drugs (n, %)		
Statin	11 (19.6)	3 (8.5)
Fibrate	4 (7.1)	2 (5.7)
ACEI's	26 (46.4)	10 (28.5)
Aspirin	7 (12.5)	7 (20)
Glitazone	-	2 (5.7)

BMI, Body mass index; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor

For female patients, frequencies of non-metabolic factors increasing hs-CRP levels such as smoking,

hormone replacement therapy, acute infections and chronic infections were 14.2%, 3.2%, 7.1% and 3.5%, respectively. For male patients, corresponding figures were 14.2%, 8.5% and 5.7% for smoking, acute infections and chronic infections, respectively.

Overall, 16.4% of patients had at least one factor increasing hs-CRP level (17,8 in females; 14,2 in males; Table 2).

Table 2. Frequency of non-metabolic factors increasing hs-CRP among patients

	Female (n=56)	Male (n=35)	All patients (n=91)
Smoking (n, %)	8 (14.2)	5 (14.2)	13 (14.2)
Using estrogen or progesterone (n, %)	3 (5.3)	-	3 (3.2)
Acute infection (n, %)	4 (7.1)	3 (8.5)	7 (7.6)
Chronic infection (n, %)	2 (3.5)	2 (5.7)	4 (4.3)
Patients with at least one factor (n, %)	10 (17.8)	5 (14.2)	15 (16.4)

Discussion

In this study, we have found at least one additional factor increasing hs-CRP level other than metabolic causes, in significant amounts of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. If the risk of cardiovascular disease is to be evaluated on the basis of serum hs-CRP level, there should be no other condition influencing this level. However, one sixth of our patients admitted for routine daily practice were not included in this category.

Growing evidence suggests that serum hs-CRP concentration is an important risk factor for cardiovascular diseases and does have prognostic significance in patients with coronary artery disease (12-14). Some experts recommend routine measurement of hs-CRP during cholesterol screening for the evaluation of overall cardiovascular risk (7). However, possible mechanisms of the relationship between hs-CRP and cardiovascular disease are not clear. In addition, certain factors about routine hs-CRP measurement, such as feasibility and cost-effectiveness of the test, should be considered (15,16).

Given the low specificity of this test, how hs-CRP levels will be evaluated? CRP is an acute phase

reactant, which increases in many inflammatory disorders (17). When there is not any specific cause, high levels may only be regarded as weak predictors.

It was recommended that serum hs-CRP measurement should be added into a modified version of Framingham Risk Points for the evaluation of cardiovascular risk (18). However, in a joint report of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and American Heart Association (AHA) published at 2003, such a wide role for CRP measurement was not supported. In this publication, low, moderate, and high risk levels were defined as <1, 1 - 3, and >3 mg/l, respectively, and repetition of the measurements higher than 10 mg/l and investigation of the patient for infection and inflammation were recommended. In the same report, hs-CRP measurement was highlighted as not being recommended as a screening test in individuals with low cardiovascular risk, because of lacking adequate evidence (9).

Should management be modified based on CRP levels? There is not a specific therapy for the reduction of serum CRP levels, and no evidence suggests that reducing CRP will decrease the cardiovascular risk (19). Many of the concurrent medicines influence hs-CRP level (20-25). In our study, more than half of our patients were using at least one medicine reducing hs-CRP level, for various reasons. CDC-AHA report has concluded that secondary prevention measures would not require determining serum hs-CRP levels, and using serial hs-CRP measurements for evaluating therapeutic efficacy would be inaccurate (9).

In conclusion; factors influencing hs-CRP levels are frequently present in patients admitting to diabetes outpatient clinic, suggesting that using hs-CRP as a predictor for cardiovascular risk will be of limited value for outpatient clinics.

References

1. Pickup JC. Inflammation and activated innate immunity in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **27**: 813-23, 2004.
2. Black GJ, Ridker PM. Novel clinical markers of vascular wall inflammation. *Circ Res* **89**: 763-71, 2001.
3. Shah SH, Newby LK. C-reactive protein: a novel marker of cardiovascular risk. *Cardiol Rev* **11**: 169-79, 2003.

4. Kritchevsky SB, Cesari M, Pahor M. Inflammatory markers and cardiovascular health in older adults. *Cardiovasc Res* **66**: 265-75, 2005.
5. Bassuk SS, Rifai N, Ridker PM. High-sensitivity C-Reactive Protein: clinical importance *Curr Probl Cardiol* 2004;29:439-93.
6. Ridker PM, Morrow DA. C-reactive protein, inflammation, and coronary risk. *Cardiol Clin* **21**: 315-25, 2003.
7. Ridker PM. Clinical application of C-reactive protein for cardiovascular disease detection and prevention. *Circulation* **107**: 363-9, 2003.
8. Smith SC, Milani RV, Arnett DK, et al. American Heart Association. Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease Conference: Writing Group II: risk factors. *Circulation* **109**: 2613-6, 2004.
9. Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW, et al. Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: application to clinical and public health practice: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **107**: 499-511, 2003.
10. Maggio CA, Pi-Sunyer FX. The prevention and treatment of obesity: application to type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **20**: 1744-66, 1997.
11. Executive Summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert panel on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* **285**: 2486-97, 2001.
12. Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. *N Engl J Med* **342**: 836-43, 2000.
13. Kenna GA, Mcgeary JE, Swift RM. Clinical use of C-reactive protein for cardiovascular disease. *South Med J* **97**: 985-8, 2004.
14. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Rose L, Buring JE, Cook NR. Comparison of C-reactive protein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in the prediction of first cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med* **347**: 1557-65, 2002.
15. Mosca L. C-reactive protein--to screen or not to screen? *N Engl J Med* **347**: 1615-17, 2002.
16. Kushner I, Sehgal AR. Is high-sensitivity C-reactive protein an effective screening test for cardiovascular risk? *Arch Intern Med* **162**: 867-9, 2002.
17. Black S, Kushner I, Samols D. C-reactive protein. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 48487-90, 2004.
18. Ridker PM, Wilson PW, Grundy SM. Should C-reactive protein be added to metabolic syndrome and to assessment of global cardiovascular risk? *Circulation* **109**: 2818-25, 2004.
19. Smith SC Jr, Milani RV, Arnett DK, et al. American Heart Association. Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease Conference: Writing Group II: risk factors. *Circulation* **109**: 2613-16, 2004.
20. Cushman M, Legault C, Barrett-Connor E, et al. Effect of postmenopausal hormones on inflammation-sensitive proteins: the Postmenopausal Estrogen/Progestin Interventions (PEPI) Study. *Circulation* **100**: 717-722, 1999.
21. Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, Tracy RP, Hennekens CH. Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. *N Engl J Med* **336**: 973-9, 1997.
22. Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, et al. Statin therapy, LDL cholesterol, C-reactive protein, and coronary artery disease. Reversal of Atherosclerosis with Aggressive Lipid Lowering (REVERSAL) Investigators. *N Engl J Med* **352**: 73-5, 2005.
23. Takeda T, Hoshida S, Nishino M, Tanouchi J, Otsu K, Hori M. Relationship between effects of statins, aspirin and angiotensin II modulators on high-sensitive C-reactive protein levels. *Atherosclerosis* **169**: 155-8, 2003.
24. Coban E, Sari R. The effect of fenofibrate on the levels of high sensitivity C-reactive protein in dyslipidemic obese patients. *Endocr Res* **30**: 343-9, 2004.
25. Esposito K, Ciotola M, Carleo D, et al. Effect of rosiglitazone on endothelial function and inflammation markers in patients with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* **29**: 1071-6, 2006.